

# Interpolation by Functions in Mixed-Norm Spaces of Analytic Functions

MIROLJUB JEVIĆ

*Institut za matematiku, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrad, Yugoslavia*

*Submitted by R. P. Boas*

Received November 14, 1985

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Theorem 2.1.1 of Amar [1], generalized by Rochberg ([4]), says that every separated sequence in the open unit disc  $D$  is the union of finitely many interpolation sequences for  $A_{ss}^\beta$ , the Bergman space of functions  $f$  which are analytic in  $D$  and for which

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^s (1-\rho)^{s\beta-1} d\rho d\theta < \infty \quad (0 < s, \beta < \infty).$$

The purpose of this note is to prove an analogous result with  $A_{ss}^\beta$  replaced by  $A_{sr}^\beta$  ( $0 < s, r \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta < \infty$ ), the mixed-norm space of analytic functions in  $D$  (defined below). Our proof will be performed (for technical reasons) in the upper half-plane  $U$  instead of the disc.

If  $s, r, \beta > 0$ , a function  $f$  analytic in  $U$ , is said to belong to the space  $A_{sr}^\beta$  if

$$\|f\|_{sr}^r = \int_0^\infty y^{r\beta-1} M_s(y, f)^r dy < \infty,$$

where

$$M_s(y, f) = \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(x+iy)|^s dx \right)^{1/s},$$

with the usual understanding if  $s$  or  $r = \infty$ .

Let  $l_{sr}$  denote the mixed-norm space of all double sequences  $a = \{a_{mk}\}$ ,  $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for which

$$\|a\|_{sr}^r = \sum_m \left( \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \right)^{r/s} < \infty \quad (0 < s, r < \infty).$$

In the case where  $s$  or  $r$  is infinite, replace the corresponding sum by a supremum.

A sequence  $\{z_{nl}\}$ ,  $n, l \in Z$  in  $U$  is said to be separated if there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $(n, l) \neq (m, k)$  then

$$d(z_{nl}, z_{mk}) = \left| \frac{z_{nl} - z_{mk}}{z_{nl} - \bar{z}_{mk}} \right| \geq \delta.$$

A sequence  $\{z_{nl}\}$  in  $U$  is called interpolation sequence for  $A_{sr}^\beta$  if whenever  $\{a_{nl}\} \in l_{sr}$ , then there exists  $f \in A_{sr}^\beta$  satisfying

$$f(z_{nl})(\operatorname{Im} z_{nl})^{\beta + (1/s)} = a_{nl}$$

Now we state our main result in the form of a theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $\{z_{nl}\}$  is a separated sequence in  $U$ . Then it can be split into a finite number of interpolation sequences for  $A_{sr}^\beta$ .*

## 2

Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be positive integers and  $n_0$  a nonnegative integer. Our main tool is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose  $\{z_{n_0+nv,l} = x_{n_0+nv,l} + iy_{n_0+nv,l}\}$ ,  $n, l \in Z$ , is a sequence in  $U$  which satisfies the following conditions*

- $$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & 2^{n_0+(n+1)\nu-1} \leq y_{n_0+nv,l} < 2^{n_0+(n+1)\nu} \quad \text{for all } l \in Z, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & |x_{n_0+nv,l_1} - x_{n_0+nv,l_2}| \geq \mu 2^{n_0+(n+1)\nu-1} \quad \text{if } l_1 \neq l_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are large enough then  $T_{sr}^\beta$  defined by

$$T_{sr}^\beta(f) = \{f(z_{n_0+nv,l}) y_{n_0+nv,l}^{\beta + (1/s)}\}, \quad f \in A_{sr}^\beta,$$

is a continuous map of  $A_{sr}^\beta$  onto  $l_{sr}$ . In fact, there is a continuous linear map  $V$  of  $l_{sr}$  into  $A_{sr}^\beta$  so that  $T_{sr}^\beta V = I_{l_{sr}}$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity we will write the proof for the cases where  $s, r \neq \infty$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose  $n_0 = 0$ . In the proof of the theorem the quantity  $\beta + 1/s$  will be denoted by  $p$ .

First, we show that  $T_{sr}^\beta$  is a bounded operator from  $A_{sr}^\beta$  into  $l_{sr}$ . By Lemma 6.3 [3]  $\|f\|_{sr}$  is equivalent to  $\|\{2^{mp} \sup_{z \in Q_{mk}} |f(z)|\}_{sr}\|$ , where  $Q_{mk}$  are squares with vertices  $k2^m + i2^m$ ,  $(k+1)2^m + i2^m$ ,  $k2^{m+1} + i2^{m+1}$  and  $(k+1)2^{m+1} + i2^{m+1}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{sr}^\beta(f)\|_{sr}^r &= \|Tf\|_{sr}^r = \sum_n \left( \sum_l |f(z_{nv,l})|^s y_{nv,l}^{ps} \right)^{r/s} \\
&\leq \sum_n \left( \sum_k \sup_{z \in Q_{nv+v-1,k}} |f(z)|^s 2^{p(n+1)vs} \right)^{r/s} \\
&\leq C \sum_m \left( \sum_k \sup_{z \in Q_{mk}} |f(z)|^s 2^{mps} \right)^{r/s} \leq C \|f\|_{sr}^r.
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument we have  $\|Tf\|_{sr} \leq C \|f\|_{sr}$ , if  $s$  or  $r = \infty$ .

We use  $C$  to denote a positive constant, depending on the particular parameters  $r, s, \dots, \eta, \beta, \dots$ , concerned in the particular problem in which it appears. It is not necessarily the same on any two occurrences.

If  $\eta > \max\{\beta + 1/s, \beta + 1\}$  we define  $A_{nv,l}$  in  $U$  by

$$A_{nv,l}(z) = \frac{(2i)^\eta (\operatorname{Im} z_{nv,l})^{\eta-p}}{(z - \bar{z}_{nv,l})^\eta}.$$

It follows from [3] that

$$R(\{a_{nl}\}) = \sum_{n,l} a_{nl} A_{nv,l}, \quad \{a_{nl}\} \in l_{sr},$$

is a bounded linear operator from  $l_{sr}$  into  $A_{sr}^\beta$ . We now claim that if  $\mu, v$  are large enough then  $TR - I_{l_{sr}}$  is an operator of small norm. (If  $1 < s < \infty$ , we have additional hypothesis  $\eta > \beta + 1 + (\beta s s')^{-1}$ , where  $s'$  is conjugate to  $s$ .)

First, we consider the case  $0 < s \leq 1$  with subcases  $r \leq s, s < r < \infty$ . Let  $\{b_{nl}\} = \{(TR - I_{l_{sr}})(a_{nl})\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{b_{nl}\}\|_{sr}^r &= \sum_n \left( \sum_l \left| \sum_{(m,k) \neq (n,l)} a_{mk} y_{nv,l}^p A_{mv,k}(z_{nv,l}) \right|^s \right)^{r/s} \\
&\leq \sum_n \left( \sum_l \sum_{(m,k) \neq (n,l)} |a_{mk}|^s y_{nv,l}^{ps} |A_{mv,k}(z_{nv,l})|^s \right)^{r/s} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \sum_n \left( \sum_l \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \frac{y_{nv,l}^{ps} y_{mv,k}^{(\eta-p)s}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{\eta s}} \right)^{r/s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_n \left( \sum_l \sum_{k \neq l} |a_{nk}|^s \frac{y_{nv,l}^{ps} y_{nv,k}^{(\eta-p)s}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{\eta s}} \right)^{r/s} \right\}. \quad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

The first sum on the right-hand side of (2.2) will be denoted by  $S_1$ , the second by  $S_2$ . The deal with  $S_1$  we first calculate

$$\sum_l |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta s}, \quad m \neq n.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_l |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta s} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} [(2^{nv+v-1} + 2^{mv+v-1})^2 + t^2 \mu^2 2^{2(nv+v-1)}]^{-\eta s/2} \\
& \leq C 2^{-(n+1)v\eta s} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} [t^2 \mu^2 + (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^2]^{-\eta s/2} \\
& \leq C 2^{-(n+1)v\eta s} \left[ (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{-\eta s} + \int_0^{\infty} [\mu^2 x^2 + (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^2]^{-\eta s/2} dx \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

To get a useful estimate we need the following estimate of the integral on the right-hand side of (2.3).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\infty} [\mu^2 x^2 + (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^2]^{-\eta s/2} dx \\
& = (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{-\eta s} \int_0^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\mu x}{1 + 2^{(m-n)v}} \right)^2 \right]^{-\eta s/2} dx \\
& = \mu^{-1} (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{1-\eta s} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + x^2)^{-\eta s/2} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The last integral is convergent because  $\eta s > 1$ . Hence, if  $m \neq n$  then from (2.3) and (2.4) we see that

$$\sum_l |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta s} \leq C \mu^{-1} 2^{-(n+1)v\eta s} (1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{1-\eta s}. \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.5) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 & \leq C \sum_n \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s 2^{(n+1)vps} 2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)s} \sum_l |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta s} \right)^{r/s} \\
& \leq C \mu^{-r/s} \sum_n \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)s} 2^{(n+1)v(p-\eta)s}}{(1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{\eta s-1}} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \right)^{r/s}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

If  $r \leq s$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 & \leq C \mu^{-r/s} \sum_n \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)r} 2^{(n+1)v(p-\eta)r}}{(1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{\eta r - (r/s)}} \left( \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \right)^{r/s} \\
& = C \mu^{-r/s} \sum_m \left( \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \right)^{r/s} \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)r} 2^{(n+1)v(p-\eta)r}}{(1 + 2^{(m-n)v})^{\eta r - (r/s)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)r} 2^{(n+1)v(p-\eta)r}}{(1+2^{(m-n)v})^{\eta r - (r/s)}} \\
 & \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^{-tv(\eta-p)r} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\beta r v t} \\
 & = (2^{(\eta-p)rv} - 1)^{-1} + (2^{\beta r v} - 1)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) it follows that

$$S_1 \leq C_1(\mu, v) \cdot \|\{a_{mk}\}\|_{sr}^r, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $C_1(\mu, v) \rightarrow 0$ , if  $\mu, v \rightarrow \infty$ .

We now turn to the estimate of the sum  $S_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &= C \sum_n \left( \sum_l \sum_{k \neq l} |a_{nk}|^s \frac{2^{(n+1)vps} 2^{(n+1)v(\eta-p)s}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{\eta s}} \right)^{r/s} \\
 &= C \sum_n \left( \sum_k |a_{nk}|^s 2^{(n+1)v\eta s} \sum_{l \neq k} |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{-\eta s} \right)^{r/s}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l \neq k} |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{-\eta s} &\leq 2 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} [(2^{nv+v-1})^2 + \mu^2 t^2 2^{2(\eta v + v - 1)}]^{-\eta s/2} \\
 &\leq C 2^{-(n+1)v\eta s} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{-\eta s} \right) \cdot \mu^{-\eta s}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $\eta s > 1$ , we see from (2.11) and (2.10) that

$$S_2 \leq C_2(\mu) \|\{a_{nk}\}\|_{sr}^r, \tag{2.12}$$

where  $C_2(\mu) \rightarrow 0$ , if  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that (2.12) holds as long as  $0 < s \leq 1$ ,  $0 < r < \infty$ .

If  $s \leq r < \infty$ , we start from (2.6) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &\leq C \mu^{-r/s} \left\{ \sum_n \left( \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^{-tv\beta s} \sum_k |a_{n+t,k}|^s \right)^{r/s} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_n \left( \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^{-tv(\eta-p)s} \sum_k |a_{n-t,k}|^s \right)^{r/s} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\mu^{-r/s} \left\{ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-lv\beta s} \left( \sum_n \left( \sum_k |a_{n+l,k}|^s \right)^{r/s} \right)^{s/r} \right)^{r/s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-lv(\eta-p)s} \left( \sum_n \left( \sum_k |a_{n-l,k}|^s \right)^{r/s} \right)^{s/r} \right)^{r/s} \right\} \\
&\leq C\mu^{-r/s} \|\{a_{nl}\}\|_{sr}^r ((2^{v\beta s} - 1)^{-r/s} + (2^{v(\eta-p)s} - 1)^{-r/s}) \\
&= C_3(\mu, v) \|\{a_{nl}\}\|_{sr}^r,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where  $C_3(\mu, v) \rightarrow 0$ , if  $\mu, v \rightarrow \infty$ .

From (2.2), (2.9), (2.12), and (2.13) we conclude that if  $\mu$  and  $v$  are large then  $TR - I_{l_p}$  has a small norm.  $V = R(TR)^{-1}$  is the required operator. The proof is now complete in the case where  $0 < s \leq 1$ ,  $0 < r < \infty$ .

Assume now  $1 < s < \infty$  and  $\eta > \beta + 1 + 1/\beta s'$ . Some simple observations show that it is possible to select two positive  $\theta$  and  $\tau$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{(i)} \quad \theta + \tau = 1 \\
&\text{(ii)} \quad \eta \tau s' > 1 \\
&\text{(iii)} \quad (\eta - p) \tau s' > 1 \\
&\text{(iv)} \quad \eta \theta s > 1 \\
&\text{(v)} \quad \theta p s > 1
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

It is clear that (iii) and (v) imply (ii) and (iv), respectively. Using  $\eta = \beta + 1 + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > (\beta s s')^{-1}$ , we may rewrite the conditions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{(iii)} \quad (\eta - p)(1 - \theta) > 1/s' \text{ if and only if } \theta(1 + \varepsilon s') < \varepsilon s' \\
&\text{(v)} \quad \theta p s > 1 \text{ if and only if } \theta(1 + \beta s) > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The condition  $\varepsilon \beta s s' > 1$  is equivalent to  $1 + \varepsilon s' < \varepsilon s'(1 + \beta s)$ . Therefore, the interval defining possible choices for  $\theta$  is nonempty. Choose  $\theta$  and  $\tau$  so that (2.14) is satisfied. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{b_{nl}\}\|_{sr}^r &\leq C \left\{ \sum_n \left[ \sum_{nl} \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \frac{2^{(m+1)v\theta s(\eta-p)} 2^{(n+1)vps}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{\eta\theta s}} \right)^{s/s'} \right]^{r/s} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)\tau s'}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{\eta\tau s'}} \right)^{s/s'} \left. \right]^{r/s} \\
&\quad + \sum_n \left[ \sum_l \left( \sum_{k \neq l} |a_{nk}|^s \frac{2^{(n+1)v\eta s}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{\eta\theta s}} \right)^{s/s'} \right]^{r/s} \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{1}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{\eta\tau s'}} \right)^{s/s'} \left. \right]^{r/s} \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $n \neq m$ , then, as in (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta\tau s'} &= \sum_k |z_{mv,k} - \bar{z}_{nv,l}|^{-\eta\tau s'} \\ &\leq C\mu^{-1}2^{-(m+1)v\eta\tau s'}(1+2^{(n-m)v})^{1-\eta\tau s'} \end{aligned}$$

(Here we have used the fact that  $\eta\tau s' > 1$ ). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)\tau s'}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{\eta\tau s'}} \right)^{s/s'} \\ &\leq C\mu^{-s/s'} \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{2^{-(m+1)v p \tau s'}}{(1+2^{(n-m)v})^{\eta\tau s'-1}} \right)^{s/s'} \\ &\leq C\mu^{-s/s'} 2^{-(n+1)v p \tau s'} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l v p \tau s'} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l v [(\eta-p)\tau s'-1]} \right)^{s/s'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\eta-p)\tau s' > 1$ , we have

$$I_1 \leq \sigma_1(\mu, v) 2^{-(n+1)v p \tau s'}, \quad \text{where } \sigma_1(\mu, v) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \mu, v \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the first sum  $\sigma_1$  on the right-hand side of (2.15) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &\leq [\sigma_1(\mu, v)]^{r/s} \sum_n \left( \sum_l \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)\theta s} 2^{(n+1)v p \theta s}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{\eta\theta s}} \right)^{r/s} \\ &= [\sigma_1(\mu, v)]^{r/s} \\ &\quad \times \sum_n \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s 2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)\theta s} 2^{(n+1)v p \theta s} \sum_l |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta\theta s} \right)^{r/s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Since  $\eta\theta s > 1$ , we have for  $m \neq n$ ,

$$\sum_l |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{mv,k}|^{-\eta\theta s} \leq C 2^{-(n+1)v\eta\theta s} (1+2^{(m-n)v})^{1-\eta\theta s}. \quad (2.17)$$

Now we substitute (2.17) into (2.16) to get

$$\sigma_1 \leq C [\sigma_1(\mu, v)]^{r/s} \sum_n \left( \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_k |a_{mk}|^s \frac{2^{(m+1)v(\eta-p)\theta s} 2^{(n+1)v(p-\eta)\theta s}}{(1+2^{(m-n)v})^{\eta\theta s-1}} \right)^{r/s}. \quad (2.18)$$

If  $r \leq s$ , we proceed as in (2.7) to get

$$\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2(\mu, v) \|\{a_{mk}\}\|_{sr}^r, \quad \text{where } \sigma_2(\mu, v) \rightarrow 0, \text{ if } \mu, v \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.19)$$

(Here we needed the restriction  $\theta ps > 1$ .) If  $s \leq r < \infty$ , and if  $\theta ps > 1$  then

$$\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_3(\mu, \nu) \|\{a_{mk}\}\|_{sr}^r, \quad \text{where } \sigma_3(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow 0, \text{ if } \mu, \nu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

As in (2.11), if  $\eta\tau s' > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k \neq l} |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{-\eta\tau s'} \right)^{s/s'} &= \left( \sum_{k \neq l} |z_{nv,k} - \bar{z}_{nv,l}|^{-\eta\tau s'} \right)^{s/s'} \\ &\leq C\mu^{-\eta\tau s} 2^{-(n+1)\nu\tau s\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this we find that the second sum  $\sigma_2$  on the right-hand side of (2.15) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &\leq C\mu^{-\eta\tau r} \sum_n \left( \sum_l \sum_{k \neq l} |a_{nk}|^s \frac{2^{(n+1)\nu\eta\theta s}}{|z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{\eta\theta s}} \right)^{r/s} \\ &= C\mu^{-\eta\tau r} \sum_n \left( \sum_k |a_{nk}|^s 2^{(n+1)\nu\eta\theta s} \sum_{l \neq k} |z_{nv,l} - \bar{z}_{nv,k}|^{-\eta\theta s} \right)^{r/s} \\ &\leq \sigma_4(\mu) \|\{a_{nl}\}\|_{sr}^r, \quad \text{where } \sigma_4(\mu) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.21) \end{aligned}$$

(Here we needed  $\eta\theta s > 1$ .)

From (2.15), (2.19), (2.20), and (2.21) we see that if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are large enough then  $S = TR - I_{lr}$  has small norm.  $V = R(I_{lr} + S)^{-1}$  is the required operator. This completes the proof.

### 3

Our main theorem is now an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1.

*Proof of the Theorem.* We show that  $X = \{z_{nl}\}$  can be split into a finite number of sequences  $X_i$  which satisfy condition (2.1). Since  $X$  is separated, there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that each square  $Q_{mk}$  of the partition of  $U$ , described in Section 2, contains at most  $M$  points of the sequence  $X$ . Hence, we can divide  $X$  into  $M$  sequences  $x_i$  such that each of them has at most one point in any square  $Q_{mk}$ .

Consider now  $\mu + 1$  sets of indices  $A_k = \{(n, l): n \in \mathbb{Z}, l = k(\text{mod } \mu)\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \mu + 1$ . Now split each of the sequences  $x_i$  into a  $\mu + 1$  sequences  $x_{ij}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu + 1$ , defined by

$$z_{nl} \in x_{ij} \text{ if and only if } z_{nl} \in x_i \cap Q_{mk}, \quad (m, k) \in A_j.$$

Let  $L_k = \{(n, l): n = k(\text{mod } \nu), l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ . Finally, we split each  $x_{ij}$  into a  $\nu$  sequences  $x_{ijk}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ , so that  $z_{nl} \in x_{ijk}$  if and only if



$z_{nl} \in x_{ij} \cap Q_{mu}$ ,  $(m, u) \in I_k$ . To finish the proof, we enumerate the sequences  $x_{ijk}$  so that (2.1) is satisfied.

#### 4. REMARKS

1. The following lemma is an analog of the Lemma 2.1 [4].

LEMMA 4.1. *There is a constant  $C = C(s, r, \beta)$  so that for all  $z_1, z_2 \in U$  with  $d(z_1, z_2) < 1$*

$$|(\operatorname{Im} z_1)^{\beta + 1/s} f(z_1) - (\operatorname{Im} z_2)^{\beta + 1/s} f(z_2)| = C d(z_1, z_2) \|f\|_{sr}.$$

As a corollary we obtain that if  $\{z_{nl}\}$  is an interpolating sequence for  $A_{sr}^\beta$  then it is separated.

2. In Section 2 we have proved that if  $\{z_{n_0 + nv, l}\}$  satisfies (2.1) then  $T_{sr}^\beta$  is bounded operator from  $A_{sr}^\beta$  into  $l_{sr}$ . A similar argument establishes the following:

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose  $\{z_{nl}\}$  is a separated sequence in  $U$ . Then  $F_{sr}^\beta$  defined by*

$$F_{sr}^\beta(f) = \{f(z_{nl})(\operatorname{Im} z_{nl})^{\beta + 1/s}\}, \quad f \in A_{sr}^\beta,$$

*is a bounded operator from  $A_{sr}^\beta$  into  $l_{sr}$ .*

Conversely, if  $F_{sr}^\beta$  is bounded operator from  $A_{sr}^\beta$  into  $l_{sr}$  then there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|F_{sr}^\beta(f)\|_{sr} \leq C \|f\|_{sr}, \quad \text{for all } f \in A_{sr}^\beta.$$

Especially,

$$\|F_{sr}^\beta(A_{nl})\| \leq C \|A_{nl}\|_{sr},$$

where

$$A_{nl}(z) = \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z_{nl})^{\eta - (\beta + 1/s)}}{(z - \bar{z}_{nl})^\eta}, \quad \eta > \max\left(\beta + 1, \beta + \frac{1}{s}\right).$$

Functions  $A_{nl}$  form a bounded subset of  $A_{sr}^\beta$  [3]. Therefore

$$\sup_{n,l} \sum_m \left( \sum_k \left[ \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z_{mk})^{\beta + 1/s} (\operatorname{Im} z_{nl})^{\eta - (\beta + 1/s)}}{|z_{mk} - \bar{z}_{nl}|^\eta} \right]^s \right)^{r/s} \leq C < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

The following proposition now follows from (4.1) and the theorem [6, p. 331]. We omit the details.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Suppose  $\{z_{nl}\}$  is a sequence in  $U$ . If  $F_{sr}^\beta$  is a bounded operator from  $A_{sr}^\beta$  into  $l_{sr}$  then  $\{z_{nl}\}$  is a finite union of separated sequences.*

#### REFERENCES

1. E. AMAR, Suites d'interpolation pour les classes de Bergman de la boule et du polydisque de  $C^n$ , *Canad. J. Math.* **30** (1978), 711–737.
2. R. R. COIFMAN AND R. ROCHBERG, Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in  $L^p$ , *Astérisque* **77** (1980), 11–66.
3. F. RICCI AND M. TAIBLESON, Boundary values of harmonic functions in mixed-norm spaces and their atomic structure, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **10**, No. 1 (1983), 1–54.
4. R. ROCHBERG, Interpolation by functions in Bergman spaces, *Michigan Math. J.* **29** (1982), 229–236.
5. S. A. VINOGRADOV, On free interpolation in Bergman spaces, *LOMI* **113** (1981), 207–211.
6. T. M. WOLNIEWITZ, Inclusion operators in Bergman spaces on bounded symmetric domains in  $C^n$ , *Studia Math.* **78** (1984), 329–337.